

United States Patent and Trademark Office

em

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/588,351	06/07/2000	Roy Childs Flaker	FI996085	8116
30678 7	7590 05/19/2004		EXAMINER	
CONNOLLY BOVE LODGE & HUTZ LLP			FENTY, JESSE A	
SUITE 800 1990 M STRE	ET NW		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	N, DC 20036-3425		2815	
			DATE MAIL ED: 05/19/200	4

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			GÁ			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Advisory Action	09/588,351	FLAKERS ET AL.				
•	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Jesse A. Fenty	2815				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondenc address				
THE REPLY FILED 26 April 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.						
PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]						
a) \square The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection.						
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any						
earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	onths after the mailing date of the linarrep	ection, even il timely filed, may i	reduce any			
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant' 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF						
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered b	ecause:					
(a) ☐ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);						
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);						
(c) ☐ they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or						
(d) they present additional claims without cance	ling a corresponding number of	finally rejected claims.				
NOTE:						
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):						
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 	I be allowable if submitted in a s	separate, timely filed am	nendment			
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because: See		sidered but does NOT pl	lace the			
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which were no	ewly			
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendmen explanation of how the new or amended claims w			an			
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows	:					
Claim(s) allowed:						
Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected:						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner.						
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 10. Other:						
10. ☐ Other:	Tom	mont				
	TOM THOMAS					
	SUPERVISORY PATENT ED TECHNOLOGY CERTIFIES	1978.ch 2000				

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance b cause: Applicant's arguments are not persuasive. Applicant admits that the Okumura et al. patent discloses an apparatus and method that changes the bias voltage on the substrate, depending on whether the device is in a stand-by or active mode. This directly correlates to the instant application's claim of discharging the substrate of any access charge. To discharge the substrate is to bias the substrate, as Okumura discloses. Applicant asserts that the combination of steps in the rejected claims require that prior to accessing a SOI device, the accumulated charge on the substrate be discharged. This can be done, as Okumura discloses, after the device is in a stand-by or active mode via a time (or pulse) circuit as disclosed in the reference and shown in Figures 22A to 22E.